

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/007,719	11/09/2001	John C. Tsai	60617.300901	8874	
32112	7590 02/12/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW OFFICE 1901 S. BASCOM AVENUE, SUITE 660			CONNELLY CUSH	CONNELLY CUSHWA, MICHELLE R	
CAMPBELL,		00	ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2874		
	•		DATE MAILED: 02/12/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			K.D			
	Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	10/007,719	TSAI ET AL.				
, and the state of	Examiner MRCC 214 04	Art Unit				
	Michelle R. Connelly-Cushwa	2874				
The MAILING DATE of this communicati n appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 29 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper repl h places the applica	y to a ition in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	_					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offitimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.136(a).	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate originally set in the final	ropriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);					
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or si	mplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claim	s.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: Se		dered but does NO	T place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: 7,9,10,24,26,27,40,41,54 and 5	<u>55</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-6,8,11-23,25,28-39,42-53 and 56</u>						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						

10. Other: ____

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

8. ☑ The drawing correction filed on <u>09 November 2001</u> is a) ☑ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.

PRIMARY EXAMINER

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly recited limitations in the amended claims would require further consideration.

AKM ENAYET ULLAH

XAMINER 2/9/0